

This is a civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Currently pending before the Court are plaintiff's motions to compel, to appoint counsel, to extend the discovery deadline, and to amend his complaint. The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's motions, and the balance of the record, does hereby ORDER as follows:

01 before the Court.¹

02 (2) Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel (Dkt. No. 153) is DENIED. As plaintiff
03 was previously advised, there is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42
04 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to
05 represent a party proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the Court may do so only in exceptional
06 circumstances. *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); *Franklin v.*
07 *Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); *Aldabe v. Aldabe*, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980).
08 A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success
09 on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the
10 complexity of the legal issues involved. *Wilborn*, 789 F.2d at 1331.

11 Plaintiff has neither demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits nor shown that,
12 in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved, he is unable to articulate his claims pro se.
13 Thus, plaintiff has not demonstrated that this case involves exceptional circumstances which
14 warrant appointment of counsel.

15 (3) Plaintiff's motion to extend the discovery deadline (Dkt. No. 153) is
16 GRANTED. Plaintiff seeks a 60 day extension of the discovery deadline. Defendants do not
17 oppose the requested extension. Accordingly, the discovery deadline is extended to **January**
18 **24, 2011**, and the dispositive motion filing deadline is extended to **February 24, 2011**.

19 (4) Plaintiff's motion to amend his complaint (Dkt. No. 155) is STRICKEN.

20 ¹ Defendants, in their response to plaintiff's motion, indicated that the requested
21 documents were in the process of being collected so that they could be turned over to plaintiff.
22 It therefore appears that even absent the procedural defect, plaintiff's motion to compel would
be moot.

01 Plaintiff seeks to add a defendant to this action by way of the instant motion to amend.
02 Plaintiff was previously advised that the Court would strike any motion to amend which was
03 not accompanied by a proposed amended complaint. (*See* Dkt. No. 108.) While plaintiff
04 attached to his motion a one page document which he identifies as a proposed amendment, the
05 document identifies a single claim against a single defendant. An amended complaint operates
06 as a complete substitute for, rather than a mere supplement to, a previous complaint. *See*
07 *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992) (citing *Hal Roach Studios v. Richard*
08 *Feiner & Co.*, 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir. 1990) (as amended)). Thus, an amended
09 complaint must set forth every claim against every defendant in the action. Plaintiff may not
10 add defendants one page at a time.

11 (5) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for
12 defendants, and to the Honorable James L. Robart.

13 DATED this 1st day of December, 2010.

14
15 

16 Mary Alice Theiler
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22